

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The specification has been amended as requested.

The Examiner's indication that claims 5-9 contain allowable subject matter is gratefully acknowledged. New claim 13 is the equivalent of claim 5 rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims (claims 3 and 4). Claim 13 also includes the changes made to claim 1 to overcome the Section 112 rejection. New claims 14-21 depend from claim 13 and correspond to claims 2 and 6-12.

Claim 1 has been amended to overcome the Section 112 rejection.

While Applicants do not concede that Mueller teaches the claimed armoring, claim 1 has also been amended to specify that the covering part is embodied as a wind deflector strip, whose air flow blade extends away from the supporting element, and at least a portion of the armoring extends into the air flow blade. The cited references do not teach or suggest this construction. Muller does not show the "armoring" S.i extending into the air flow blade.

Therefore, amended claim 1 and dependent claims 2-12 are allowable.

Claim 9 has been amended to be consistent with claim 1.

In view of the foregoing, entry of the above amendment and allowance of claims 1-21 are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



David R. Price
Reg. No. 31,557

Docket No.: 081276-1030-00
Michael Best & Friedrich LLP
100 East Wisconsin Avenue
Suite 3300
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4108
414.271.6560

T:\CLIENT\A\022862\1030\A2441820.1